Woking Borough Council Affordable Housing Delivery SPD

Consultation Statement

The Affordable Housing Delivery Supplementary Planning Document (SPD) provides detail on how the Council's affordable housing policy, Core Strategy policy CS12, is to be implemented. The first version of the SPD was adopted in 2014, so a thorough revision of the document has been necessary to meet today's circumstances.

Before a Local Planning Authority adopts an SPD, Regulation 12 of the Town and Country Planning (Local Planning) (England) Regulations 2012 requires it to publish a statement setting out:

- i. The persons the local planning authority consulted when preparing the Supplementary Planning Document;
- ii. A summary of the main issues raised by those persons; and
- iii. How those issues have been addressed in the Supplementary Planning Document. This statement reflects these requirements.

The Council carried out public consultation on the SPD for a period of four weeks between 21 November and 19 December 2021. A list of persons consulted during that consultation can be found in Appendix 1 [NB: To be produced]. In addition, the consultation was publicised through notices in local newspapers and on the Council's website. Representations made have been considered and the main issues are summarised in Appendix 2. This also includes reference to how the issues raised have been considered and addressed.

The following modifications have been made following the consultation, and are incorporated in the SPD. Underlined text has been added. These modifications enhance the quality of and/or provide updated information to the SPD:

Section 3.1: After the list setting out percentages of dwellings by size, add: "This mix- or any updated equivalent following a revised SHMA/Housing Needs Assessment- will be applied to the totality of affordable housing on any given site excluding First Homes: see 3.5 below."

Section 3.2: Amend the second paragraph to read: "The <u>2015</u> SHMA identifies that there is a need for 71% of new affordable dwellings to be in the rented tenure (social and affordable) and 29% at intermediate level (including shared ownership and First Homes). <u>A revised SHMA/Housing Needs Assessment may produce revised tenure mix need figures.</u> National policy requires 25% of new affordable dwellings to be First Homes. <u>Please see section 3.5 for how these proportions will be applied."</u>

Section 3.3: Delete third paragraph.

Section 3.5: Under Keyworkers, in the bullet point 'Priority One', after the first sentence insert: "<u>This includes (but is not limited to) teachers, nurses, NHS workers, police officers, social workers, probation staff and fire fighters."</u>

Section 3.5: Immediately above the table illustrating how the tenure split operates, add the sentence "The tenure mix below reflects the 2015 SHMA; if the tenure mix needs are revised in a new SHMA/Housing Needs Assessment; that new mix should be applied."

Section 3.5: Final paragraph: amend to read: "In Woking, First Homes can make smaller, 1 or 2 bed units, cheaper for purchase and available to more households. The cost of 3 and 4 bed units in the

borough prohibits their delivery without a discount of higher than 50%. However, these properties are also a much needed type of property for people on the housing waiting list needing social and affordable rented accommodation. The dwelling mix requirements for Affordable Housing, by number of bedrooms, in section 3.1 above, will therefore be applied to the totality of affordable dwellings excluding First Homes on each site."

Section 4.4: Alter the text in Step 1 of the graphic to read: "Open Market Value (OMV) of the relevant or comparative property divided by the <u>Gross Internal Floor Area</u> of that property (both figures to be signed off by a RICS chartered surveyor or RIBA member architect) and multiplied by the affordable housing property size equivalent (using nationally described minimum space standards)"

Section 4.6: Amend the first two paragraphs to read: "Where a viability appraisal successfully shows non-viability of delivering the requirements of CS12, the Council will elect to require an overage clause."

"If so, this will be incorporated into a Section 106 Agreement. It will usually be based on the final revenues (Gross Development Value) of the completed development compared with the Financial Viability Appraisal submitted with the application, taking into account what is generally accepted to be a reasonable developer profit level, having regard to Planning Practice Guidance on viability."

Section 5.1: Amend the third paragraph to remove the text "(as well as Traveller sites)" and "and Traveller". Remove the fourth paragraph and replace with: "The circumstances regarding Traveller sites have not changed in the same way. Such sites will continue to be excluded from requirements for affordable housing provision."

Section 6.3: In third paragraph, amend second bullet point to read: "...or replace it within the Borough, where practicable like for like, and"

Annexe 5 (Nominations agreements): Paragraph 1.11, amend to read: "In any circumstance where the Provider is able to offer a property to someone who is not a nominee of the Borough Council, the Provider will seek to allocate tenancies or sales in the following order, except where requirements attached to Government funding preclude it:"

The Council is satisfied that the Outlook, Amenity, Privacy and Daylight SPD has been prepared in accordance with the Regulations and other relevant statutory procedures.

Appendix 1: Persons and organisations consulted during consultation

Community

Carers Support Woking

Community Learning Partnership

Horsell Park Neighbourhood Watch/WAN

Just Advocacy

Liaise Women's Centre

Phoenix Cultural Centre

Probation Service

PROWD

Sheerwater Neighbourhood Watch

Surrey Access Forum

Surrey Community Action

Surrey Lifelong Learning Partnership (SLLP)

The Barnsbury Project

The Grove Area LTD

The Lighthouse

The Sheerwater And Maybury Partnership

Westfield Primary School

Woking Association Of Voluntary Service (WAVS)

Woking Cycle Users Group

Woking Youth Arts Centre

Woking Youth Centre

Woodlands Community Group

York Road Project

Business, developers, agents and landowners

AAP Architecture Ltd

Ace Marcelle Hope Limited

ADM Architecture

AMG Planning And Development

AND Consulting

Banner Homes (Wessex) Ltd

Baratt Homes

Barratt Homes (Southern Counties)

Barton Willmore

Basingstoke Canal Authority

Batcheller Thacker

BDB Pitmans LLP

Beaumonde Homes

Bell Cornwell

Bewley Homes

Birchwood Homes

BNP Parabis Real Estate

Boyer Planning Limited

Brimble, Lea And Partners

British Land Properties

Carter Planning Ltd

Castle Wildish Chartered Surveyors

Charles Austen Pumps Ltd

Charles Church Developments Ltd

Charles Richards

Clarence Country Homes Limited

Clerical Medical Managed Funds Ltd

Clifford Chance Secretaries Limited

Convery Developments Ltd

Cooper Environmental Planning

Courtley Consultants Ltd

Crest Strategic Projects

Croudace

Danks Badnell

Development Planning Partnership

Devine Homes PLC

DHA Architecture

DHS Engineering

DPDS Consulting Group

Drivers Jones

Drivers Jones Deloitte

Fairview New Homes Plc

Form Architecture And Planning

Fromson Construction Co Ltd

Fullerthorne

George Wimpey West London Ltd

Goldcrest Homes

Grant Consultancy

Gravitas 1061 Limited

Greenoak Housing Association

Hammerson UK

Henry Smith

Heritage Architecture

Horsell Businesses' And Traders' Association

Iconic Design

John Ebdon Homes

JSA Architects

Keith Hiley Associates Ltd

Kier Homes Ltd

King Sturge

Knaphill Traders Association

Lacey Simmons

Landmark Information Group Ltd

Lewandowski Architects

Linden Homes South-East Limited

Mantle Panel Ltd

Martin Gardner

Martin Grant Homes

MBH Partnership

McCarthy And Stone

McClosky And Bingham

McLaren Group Limited

Mercury Planning

Millgate Homes

Montague Alan Ltd

Mount Green Housing Association

N K Accountancy

Nathaniel Lichfield And Partners

National Housing Federation

NULAP (Aviva Investors)

Octagon Developments Ltd

Peacocks Centre

Peter Allan

Pinecrofe Housing Association

Planning Issues And Churchill Retirement

Planware Ltd

PRP Architects

Pyrford Homes Ltd

Quinton Scott Chartered Surveyors And Estate Agents

Rolfe Judd

Rosemary Simmons Memorial Housing Association

Rosetower Ltd

Runnymede Homes Ltd

Rutland Group

Savills

Shanly Homes

Sterling Potfolio Managment On Behalf Of Leylani Ltd

Stonham Housing Association

Surrey Chamber Of Commerce

Terence O'Rourke

Tetlow King Planning

Thames Valley Housing Association

The Landmark Trust

The Lightbox

Thomas Eggar LLP

Welmede Housing Association

Woking And District Trades Council

Woking Chamber

Woking Shopmobility

Wolsey Place Shopping Centre

Woolf Bond Planning

WYG Management Services

Health

Adult Social Care NW Surrey

Health And Safety Executive

NHS

NHS England

NHS England (South)

NHS Guildford And Waverley Clinical Commissioning Group

NHS North West Surrey Comissioning Group

NHS Surrey Heath Clinical Commissioning Group

North West Surrey CCG

South East Coast Strategic Health Authority

Surrey Health And Wellbeing Board

Virgin Care Limited

Infrastructure, Telecoms & Transport

Abellio Surrey

Affinity Water

AMEC Foster Wheeler

Arriva

Arriva Southern Counties

Avison Young

Carlone Buses

Civil Aviation Authority

Civil Aviation Authority (Safety Regulation Group)

CNS Systems - Navigation, Spectrum And Surveillance

Department For Transport

ΕE

Entec UK Ltd

Fairoaks Airport Ltd

Freight Transport Association

Guildford Police Station

Highways England

HM Prison Service

Mobile Operators Association

Mobile Operators Association

National Grid

National Grid

National Grid Control Centre

Network Rail

NOMS/ HM Prison Service

Office Of Rail Regulations

Reptons Coaches

Scotia Gas Networks

Scottish And Southern Energy

SGN

Southern Gas Networks

Southwest Trains

St John The Baptist School

Stagecoach South

Surrey And Hampshire Canal Society

Surrey Police

Surrey Police- Estates Department

Thames Water Planning/Property

Thamesway Sustainable Communities Ltd

The Coal Authority

Three

Veolia Water Central

Walden Telecom Ltd

Woking Community Transport Ltd

Wood E&I Solutions UK Ltd

Wood Plc

Interest groups

Age Concern

Age Concern Woking

Ancient Monuments Society

Campaign To Protect Rural England

Council For British Archaeology

CPRE Surrey

Deafplus

DEFRA

Environment Agency

Forestry Commission

Friends Of The Earth

Friends Of The Elderly

Gay Surrey

Georgian Group

Horsell Common Preservation Society

Irish Community Association

Irish Travellers Movement In Britain

Maybury Sheerwater Partnership Garden Project

National Trust

NFU Office

Surrey And Farming Wildlife Advisory Group

Surrey Archaeological Society

Surrey Campaign to Protect Rural England

Surrey Coalition Of Disabled People

Surrey County Council

Surrey Disabled People's Partnership

Surrey Heathland Project

Surrey Minority Ethnic Forum

Surrey Nature Partnership

Surrey Travellers Community Relations Forum

Surrey Wildlife Trust

Sussex Wildlife Trust

The Bangladesh Cultural Association

The Garden History Society

The Gypsy Council

The Indian Association Of Surrey

The Maybury Centre

The National Trust

The RSPB

The Society For The Protection Of Ancient Buildings

The Twentieth Century Society

The Woodland Trust

Victorian Society

Westfield Common Preservation Society

Woking Mind

Woking Pakistan Muslim Welfare Association

Woodland Trust

Leisure

Ambassadors Theatre Group

Arts Council For Woking

Link Leisure

Open Spaces Society

Sport England

Sport England South

Surrey County Playing Field Association

The Lawn Tennis Association

The Ramblers

The Rotary Club Of Woking

The Theatres Trust

Tourism South East

Tourism South East

West Byfleet Golf Club

Woking Community Play Association

Woking Ramblers

Woking Sports Council

Local Planning Authorities

Bracknell Forest Council

Elmbridge Borough Council

Epsom And Ewell Borough Council

Guildford Borough Council

Hart District Council

Mole Valley District Council

Reigate And Barnstead Borough Council

Royal Borough Of Windsor And Maidenhead

Runnymede Borough Council

Rushmoor Borough Council

Spelthorne Borough Council

Surrey County Council

Surrey Heath Borough Council

Tandridge District Council

Waverley Borough Council

Wokingham Borough Council

Other

Campaign For Real Ale

Coal Pension Properties

Department For Education

Education Funding Agency

Homes And Communities Agency

National Farmers Union SE Region

National Landlords Association

NATS Ltd

Network Rail

Outline

Scottish Gas Networks

Surrey Playing Fields

Surrey Police

The Planning Inspectorate

Woking Borough Council

Woking Chamber Of Commerce

Woking FC

Parish Councils

Bisley Parish Council

Chobham Parish Council

Ockham Parish Council

Pirbright Parish Council

Ripley Parish Council

Send Parish Council

West End Parish Council

Wisley Parish Council

Worplesdon Parish Council

Political / Religious groups

All Saint's Church

Church Comissioners

Congregation Of St Mary's Church Byfleet

First Church Of Christ Scientist

Jehovah's Witnesses

Masjid Albirr

New Life Church

Religious Society Of Friends

Shah Jahan Mosque

St Edward Brotherhood

St Mary's Church Office

The Rt Hon Jonathan Lord MP

The Church Of England Guildford Diocesan Board Of Finance

Woking Conservatives

Woking Constituency Labour Party

Woking Liberal Democrats

Residents Associations

Anthony's Residents Association

Brambledown Residents Association

Brookwood Village Association

Byfleet Village Association

Friars Rise Residents Association

Horsell Residents Association

Horsell Residents Association

Maybury Community Association

Pyrford Green Belt Action Group

Sheets Heath Residents Association

St Johns Village Society

Sutton Green Village Hall And Association

Wych Hill Way Residents Association

Young people and Education

Barnsbury Infant School

Beaufort Community Primary School

Broadmere Community Primary School

Brookwood Primary School

Byfleet Primary School

Goldsworth Primary School

Kingfield School

Knaphill Lower School

Maybury Infant School

New Monument School

Pyrford C Of E (Aided) School

St Dunstan's Roman Catholic Primary School

St Hugh Of Lincoln Catholic Primary School

St John The Baptist R.C Secondary School

St John's Primary School

St Mary's C Of E Priamary School

The Bishop David Brown School

The Hermitage School

The Horsell Village School

The Marist Catholic Primary School

The Oaktree School

The Park School

The Winston Churchill School

West Byfleet Infant School

Westfield Primary School

Wishmore Cross School

Woking College

Woking High School

Woking Scouts

Woking Youth Council

Appendix 2. Summary of the main issues raised in consultation, and how they have been considered and addressed

Name of respondent	Comment	Response
Abri	discount higher than 50% will be required to deliver 3- and 4-bed First Homes across Woking, and that 1- and 2-bed homes are	dwellings excluding First Homes on each site.
Abri	subsidises the provision of affordable homes for rent. First homes will not be able to do this; they are not delivered by RPs so their introduction would reduce the viability of providing affordable housing. There is no requirement for First Homes to remain so in perpetuity. Reconsider whether to include First Homes at all. For example, Bath and	consultation on this subject are not yet known. In addition, elements of the First Homes requirements are expected to apply to a property in perpetuity, except in certain
Abri		Agree; insert the words 'where practicable' before 'like for like'.
Abri	The nominations arrangements set out in Annex 5 do not comply with the Homes	Homes England grants are only given for dwellings beyond those required to comply with Policy CS12. The SPD

ownership homes; Homes England require (including Annex 5) exists to aid the interpretation of CS12. Therefore the two all such homes benefitting from grant funding to be sold with no local lissues should not come into conflict. connection or priority conditions, However, in case this should change in provided the household meets the future, can add 'except where relevant income / affordability requirements attached to Government assessments, and this also applies on refunding preclude it' into the relevant sales. On this basis this wording should be clause in the nominations agreement. removed from the annex to prevent conflict with Homes England requirements, and unnecessary delays in negotiating S106 Agreements. Watkin Jones The Council has provided no evidence or The viability of Core Strategy Policy CS12, Group justification as required by the with a much higher affordable housing threshold, has been tested through two Government to suggest that any BTR proposals would be viable with, or above, viability assessments. PPG states that 20% 20% affordable housing. is generally a suitable benchmark in any The recent draft Town Centre Masterplan BTR scheme, and does not require local authorities to justify using this figure. The noted viability issues with affordable housing on recent town centre issue of viability on previous Town Centre developments. applications is raised; however, each of Therefore we would strongly suggest that those where affordable housing was the SPD should be revised to align with found not to be viable had specific the NPPG advice - that 20% would be a circumstances which led to that suitable 'benchmark' for any BtR scheme, conclusion, and moreover BTR projects rather than "a minimum" (which should could come forward outside the town be deleted with supporting evidence). centre. The proposed guidance makes Development viability will be a material clear that the minimum threshold of 20% would be subject to all the conditions of consideration in any planning application determination. Indeed this policy would Policy CS12, including the potential for be more appropriate to consider as part of non-viability of meeting that threshold to the Local Plan review rather than within be demonstrated through a viability an SPD with insufficient or out of date assessment. It is therefore consistent levidence. with the OED definition of 'benchmark': 'a standard or point of reference against which things may be compared or assessed', and also with PPG (BTR section, para 002): "the guidance on viability permits developers, in exception, the opportunity to make a case seeking to differ from this benchmark". Retain current wording. NHS Surrey We welcome the references to the Support welcomed Heartlands ICB Woking Housing Strategy 2021-2026. NHS Surrey NHS staff are key workers, and so the Agree that NHS nurses would be Heartlands ICB council should set out in the document considered Priority One keyworkers. After that NHS staff will be considered amongst | public sector employee who is Priority one for First Homes. There are considered to provide an essential

		<u></u>
NHS Surrey	Our data shows that the number 1 known reason for staff leaving is for relocating out of the area, and in a survey 73% of the Southeast Internationally Recruited Nursing workforce considered access to affordable accommodation a key factor in staying in their organisation. The majority were interested in houses and flats, rather than shared or hospital accommodation. First Homes represent one potential opportunity to support affordable housing delivery in the borough. The ICB would welcome further consultation with the council to consider a	This question can potentially be considered at the next review of the
	wider range of affordable housing tenures which prioritise key workers. For example, a cascade mechanism, where Shared Ownership or other affordable housing properties would have an eligibility criterion to support key workers. Further, it is important to consider options for affordable rental housing options for key workers, given the prevailing unaffordable rents as discussed above.	
NHS Surrey Heartlands ICB	-	
Stewart Dick, Byfleet, West Byfleet and Pyrford Residents Association (BWBPRA) and West Byfleet Neighbourhood Forum (WBNF)	Has it been far too easy for developers to persuade Councils that the financial viability of a scheme cannot support the required number of Affordable Housing?	The ability in principle to make this argument is set out in policy CS12. The changes to the SPD should assist with this issue. However, further changes to improve this could include: delete third paragraph of point 3.3, which is no longer considered necessary; amend first paragraph of 4.7 from "may elect to require an overage clause" to "will"; in second paragraph of 4.7, replace expected profit level with link to PPG.
BWBPRA / WBNF	projections for Woking/Surrey and the impact of the changed working habits as a result of COVID-19 affected the demand/anticipated demand and/or the need for and nature of	The Town Centre Housing Market Assessment Update has assessed the influence of recent population projections and COVID-19 working habits with regard to demand for town centre housing, including Affordable Housing. However, it is a good point that further updates to

BWBPRA / WBNF	If every residential development in WBC since 2012, that because of its size had a requirement to build a prescribed number of Affordable Housing, and that requirement had actually been implemented, how many would we have	housing evidence may be required. Therefore, where reference is made to SHMA requirements, amend to make clear that these requirements may change when the SHMA is updated. Policy CS12 contains within itself an allowance for deviation from the standard AH percentage in certain circumstances. Moreover many dwellings have come forward outside the planning application process (through prior approval).
BWBPRA / WBNF	We are told that as of October 2021 there were a total of 972 applicants on Woking's Housing Register and of those 40% wish a one bedroom unit. What is the relationship between this statistic and the actual demand for Affordable Housing? We are also told that those on universal credit in March 2022 was the lowest since 2020. An up to date figure would be helpful. Also what is the correlation with the demand for Affordable Housing?	Register is one of the elements which feeds into the calculation of Affordable Housing need.
BWBPRA / WBNF	Why has affordable housing been such a low proportion of dwelling completions since 2010, and the target of 35% not met? Is Woking unusual in not meeting its target, or are many other Councils also under delivering to a similar level?	delivery rate in our plan period (19%) is similar to that of other West Surrey
BWBPRA / WBNF	Since 2021 it is now a planning policy requirement that a minimum of 25% of all affordable homes secured through developers contribution are to be First Homes. Has this been achieved?	tested in a planning decision in Woking.
BWBPRA / WBNF	Paragraph 2.8 Community Infrastructure Levy - CIL will apply to most new buildings, but affordable housing and any development for charitable purposes will be exempt from the charge. Contributions for these will continue to be sought through a Section 106 planning obligation. How?	negotiated on a case by case basis for each development. For contributions to affordable housing, this will be on the basis of policy CS12 and the remainder of
BWBPRA / WBNF	We support the policy described in paragraph 3.5 including the decision of WBC not to exercise its discretion but to apply the standard discount and threshold set out in national policy. We also very much support the local connection test;	Support welcomed

	h 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Ι
	key workers and the tenure split	
	requirements of Policy CS12 being	
	interpreted in the light of the NPPG	
	requirements.	
BWBPRA /	Paragraph 3.6 Affordable Housing	WBC retains the services of a firm that
WBNF	provision on Build to Rent schemes also	
WBINE	·	reviews (at developers' cost) viability
	has our support particularly as the Council	appraisals submitted to us by developers.
	will encourage Build to Rent applications	
	to provide a higher	
	proportion of affordable housing, whilst	
	treating 20% as the minimum	
	requirement on such schemes.	
	The justification for delivering less than	
	20% on site on a Build to Rent scheme	
	must be particularly demanding and very	
	much the exception. Does WBC control	
	the selection of who/which firms	
	conduct the valuations?	
BWBPRA /	Paragraph 4.2 sets out the Council's	Support welcomed.
WBNF	other affordable housing delivery	
	mechanisms - clearly they have not been	
	successful. Further it is currently unclear	
	as to the future role of Thameswey	
	Housing Limited. However you are to	
	be commended on the	
	many delivery mechanisms listed which	
	going forward really should reduce the	
	shortfall.	
BWBPRA /	Congratulations on the Sheerwater	Support welcomed.
WBNF	regeneration and on the work of the	Support Welcomed.
VVBIVI	Housing Options team.	
	Historically whore off site	Any Costion 106 financial contribution is
BWBPRA / WBNF	Historically where off-site	Any Section 106 financial contribution is
WBINE	compensation has been agreed has this	ringfenced for a specified purpose (in this
	compensation sum been sufficient to	case, Affordable Housing) and this has
	build the required number of	always been the case. Off-site
	Affordable Houses? You say that	contributions generally do not result in
		the same economies of scale and
	they not always been ring-fenced?	efficiency in delivery as on-site provision,
		which can result in fewer affordable
		homes being delivered. Nevertheless, it is
		possible to leverage other funding to
		maximise the benefits of these
BWBPRA /	In Paragraph 4.4 Calculating the Financial	contributions. Financial contributions are set out in legal
WBNF	Contribution you state that the "Council	agreements ("Section 106 agreements")
VVDINF	•	when planning permission is granted, so
	to be paid on commencement of the	legal action can potentially be taken in
	l ·	the event of a breach.
	MCVEIUDITIETTE . VVIIALATE LITE	pric event of a bleach.

	arrangements to guarantee receipt of funds if this does not happen?	
BWBPRA / WBNF	Paragraph 4.7 - historically how often has overage been required or is this a new requirement for the future? How will the financial audit of the project be undertaken?	Overage clauses are already applied when a developer successfully argues that the delivery of affordable housing is currently not viable for their development. The terms of any viability review covered by an overage clause are set out in Section 106 agreements.
BWBPRA / WBNF	Paragraph 5.2: The size thresholds for requiring affordable housing are likely to change. How was the 50% requirement for affordable housing on greenfield sites arrived at? It will almost certainly ensure that the economics of development do not work. This AHDSPD is an opportunity redress this anomaly and how sad that common sense does not prevail	Note the potential for thresholds to change arising from recent proposals made by the Government. However these may not become policy. The 50% requirement on greenfield sites is in the Core Strategy, which has been supported by two viability assessments. An SPD is not able to change Core Strategy policy even if that was thought desirable. On review however, it is not considered feasible to extract affordable housing or contributions from Traveller sites (ref. section 5.1), so these should continue to be excluded as at present.
BWBPRA / WBNF	Have the requirements to maintain accommodation as affordable, or for it to be replaced or for resources to be recycled to replace the dwellings, been implemented and enforced?	The Council monitors the delivery of affordable homes through its planning and housing teams to ensure they are delivered in line with Section 106 requirements. There are Government procedures in place where a housing association wishes to dispose of rented homes, which includes notifying the local authority.
BWBPRA / WBNF	This is a commendable document. However given the national and local policy context we find the timing of this Paper surprising. Further, given the historic failure to meet Affordable Housing targets we have difficulty being convinced that these refinements to CS12 will be the solution that we are all seeking.	Noted.
Anthony Saunders	The Housing Register states a need for 25% X 2 bed and 33% X 3 bed but the HMA gives this as 30% and 25%. So the need is not being met.	It is true that the need is not being met. However, both of these are different types of need figure, calculated by different methods, and do not reflect delivery.

Anthony	CIL is too low to provide the infrastructure	CII has to be set at a level which allows
Saunders	required by new development, or perhaps it is not being used for infrastructure.	for development to be viable, and the current rate is that which was found to meet this requirement when it was introduced. The rate (and viability) will be reviewed in future.
Anthony Saunders	lots of developments, each just below the size threshold at which affordable housing is required? Should prevent this abuse, for example by requiring affordable housing based on how many dwellings a given developer is developing within a 10 mile	_
Jeremy Blayney	I have read the draft and fully support the details on how the policy should be applied	Support welcomed
Laurence Keeley	1 ' '	This sector is encouraged by Core Strategy policy CS13.
Laurence Keeley	health of social isolation and	Agree that this is an important issue. It may be addressed by some of the guidance in our Outlook, Amenity, Privacy and Daylight SPD and the draft Town Centre Masterplan SPD.
Laurence Keeley	Describes problems affecting agriculture. Should provide affordable housing in villages so local people can work on the land.	Dwellings for farm workers are allowed for in the Green Belt in certain circumstances, under Policy DM14: Rural workers' dwellings.
Mike Doyle	The Council is out of touch and out of control, and should check its bank balance.	Noted
National Highways	No comment	Noted
Natural England	No comment	Noted
Historic England	No comment	Noted
Rushmoor Borough Council	No comment	Noted

Coal Authority	No comment	Noted